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#### Abstract

Concise, efficient total syntheses of ningalin A (1), lamellarin O (2), lukianol A (3), and permethyl storniamide A (5) are detailed on the basis of a common heterocyclic azadiene Diels-Alder strategy (1,2,4,5tetrazine $\rightarrow 1,2$-diazine $\rightarrow$ pyrrole) ideally suited for construction of the densely functionalized pyrrole cores found in the three classes of marine natural products. Examination of the natural products and a number of synthetic intermediates revealed that some including lamellarin O (2) and lukianol A (3) exhibit modest cytotoxic activity against both wild-type and multidrug-resistant tumor cell lines. Fundamentally more important, a new class of agents including permethyl storniamide A (5) and its precursor 30, which lack inherent cytotoxic activity, are disclosed which reverse the multidrug-resistant (MDR) phenotype, resensitizing a human colon cancer cell line (HCT116/VM46) to vinblastine and doxorubicin at lower doses than the prototypical agent verapamil.


The recently identified marine natural products ningalin A (1), lamellarin O (2), lukianol A (3), and storniamide A (4) each possess a common 3,4-diaryl-substituted pyrrole nucleus bearing 2 - or 2,5-carboxylates. Ningalin A (1) is the simplest member of a newly described family of marine natural products isolated by Fenical (1997) from an ascidian of the genus Didemnum collected in western Australia near Ningaloo Reef which appear to be derived from condensation of 3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA). ${ }^{1}$ Consequently, $\mathbf{1}$ and the related ningalins $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{D}$ are the newest members of a family of DOPA-derived o-catechol metabolites that include the tunichromes.

Lamellarin $\mathrm{O}(\mathbf{2})^{2}$ is a prototypical member of a rapidly growing class of marine natural products ${ }^{3-6}$ which was first isolated from the southern Australian marine sponge Dendrilla cactos by Capon (1994), and important members of this class have been disclosed by Faulkner, Fenical, and Bowden. It has been reported that biological activity could not be obtained for natural lamellarin O due to its instability and limited availability. ${ }^{2}$ Lukianol A (3), a structurally related compound, was discovered in an unidentified pacific tunicate by Scheuer (1992) ${ }^{7}$ and shown to exhibit cytotoxic activity against a cell line derived from human epidermatoid carcinoma (KB). More recent investigations of related lamellarins have confirmed their cytotoxic activity, revealed equally effective cytotoxic activity against multidrug-resistant (MDR) cell lines, and demonstrated that even at noncytotoxic concentrations they reverse MDR by inhibiting
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P-gp-mediated drug efflux. ${ }^{8}$ Thus, they constitute a new class of antitumor agents active against resistant cell lines, and they additionally reverse MDR at noncytotoxic concentrations even more effectively than verapamil, resensitizing the resistant malignant cells to front-line therapeutics.

Storniamide A (4) is a member of a new class of secondary metabolites isolated in 1996 from a Patagonian sponge off the coast of Argentina. ${ }^{9}$ The crude ethanolic extract of the burrowing yellow sponge Cliona sp. showed antibiotic activity against Gram-positive bacteria. Purification of the individual constituents was accomplished by bioassay-guided fractionation to reveal four members of this new family of alkaloids, storniamide A-D. Characterization showed that each differs only in the oxygenation pattern within the peripheral aromatic rings, with 4 being a prototypical member.

Herein we describe total syntheses of ningalin A, lamellarin O, lukianol A, and permethyl storniamide A (5), enlisting a common strategy applicable to related natural products and synthetic analogues (Figure 1). The concise, nonobvious approach employs a heteroaromatic azadiene Diels-Alder reaction ${ }^{10}$ to assemble the substituents onto a six-membered 1,2diazine core which is followed by a reductive ring contraction reaction ${ }^{11-13}$ to provide the corresponding pyrrole, a five-
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Figure 1.

## Scheme 1



membered heteroaromatic system not commonly assembled by a $[4+2]$ cycloaddition reaction (Scheme 1).

Importantly, the oxygenation pattern found in the diaryl groups would be expected to increase the nucleophilic character of the corresponding acetylene and improve what is a typically poor reactivity of the alkynes toward 6. ${ }^{14}$ This approach lends itself to the synthesis of the natural products and a range of analogues by use of alternative acetylenes or by functionalization of the diesters for further elaboration of the common central core with the potential for desymmetrization. As such, its implementation detailed herein complements the limited synthetic efforts disclosed to date on the three classes of marine natural products. ${ }^{15}$

Total Synthesis of Ningalin A. The requisite diphenylacetylene $\mathbf{8}$ was prepared by a double Stille coupling of 1-bromo-
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4,5-dimethoxy-2-(methoxymethoxy)benzene (7) ${ }^{16}$ with bis(tributylstannyl)acetylene ${ }^{17}\left(\mathrm{Pd}_{( }\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, 79 \%\right)$, Scheme 2. The first of the two key conversions in the synthesis, the DielsAlder reaction of the electron-deficient 1,2,4,5-tetrazine $\mathbf{6}^{13}$ with the electron-rich acetylene 8, was carried out in toluene at 110 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford the desired 1,2-diazine 9 in excellent yield (87\%) as a 2.4:1 mixture of atropisomers. The relative effectiveness of the Diels-Alder reaction of the alkyne $\mathbf{8}$ in comparison with unactivated alkynes ${ }^{14}$ may be attributed to the electron-donating properties of the dienophile aryl alkoxy groups. Subsequent reductive ring contraction of 1,2-diazine 9 effected by treatment with zinc (HOAc, $63 \%$ ) smoothly afforded the desired pyrrole 10. Deprotection of the MOM ethers through treatment of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with $3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}-\mathrm{EtOAc}$ afforded a mixture of the corresponding diphenol and the monolactone 11, which completely converted to the monolactone 11 ( $94 \%$ ) upon $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ chromatography. Because of the steric congestion and rotational barrier of the two ortho aryl rings, more forcing conditions (DBU, 100\%) were required for formation of the second lactone, providing tetramethyl ningalin A (12). Exhaustive demethylation with $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ ( $96 \%$ ) completed the first total synthesis of ningalin (1, 49\% overall yield) and provided material that was identical in all respects $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR, }{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \text { NMR, IR, MS }\right)^{18}$ with authentic material. ${ }^{1}$

Total Synthesis of Lamellarin O and Lukianol A. The acetylenic precursor common to lamellarin O and lukianol A
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was prepared by a palladium(0)-catalyzed cross-coupling of the terminal acetylene $\mathbf{1 3}^{19}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}^{19}$ ( 0.03 equiv of Pd, 0.06 equiv of $\mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 75 \%$ ) in which slow addition of the acetylene was necessary to suppress formation of the coupled diacetylene (Scheme 3). Initial Stille coupling attempts using bis(tributylstannyl)acetylene ${ }^{17}$ and the aryl iodide $\mathbf{1 4}$ led predominately to the symmetrical coupled diacetylene. ${ }^{20}$ Acetylene 15 was allowed to react with 1,2,4,5-tetrazine 6 to give the desired 1,2diazine $\mathbf{1 6}$ in excellent yield (toluene, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 85 \%$ ). Zinc reductive ring contraction (HOAc, 72\%) followed by N alkylation of the resulting pyrrole $\mathbf{1 7}$ with the commercially available 2-bromo-4'-methoxyacetophenone (18) gave the pentasubstituted pyrrole 19 (100\%). The symmetrical diester 19 was subjected to a gratifyingly selective hydrolysis with LiOH ( 1.3 equiv, $76 \%$ ) to provide the monoacid $\mathbf{2 0}$. We attribute this selective hydrolysis to phenacyl enolate generation under the reaction conditions followed by enol lactone closure onto either of the dimethyl esters and subsequent preferential hydrolytic cleavage of the enol lactone. The resulting acid $\mathbf{2 0}$ was treated with trifluoroacetic acid (5 equiv, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$ ) to promote decarboxylation and afford the appropriately substituted and functionalized pyrrole core 21 found in both lamellarin O and lukianol A. This key intermediate could be quantitatively
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converted to lamellarin O (2) by catalytic hydrogenation or, more simply, by conducting a TFA treatment of $\mathbf{2 0}$ or $\mathbf{2 1}$ at more elevated temperatures (neat TFA, $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$ ). Analogous to the efforts of Fürstner, ${ }^{15}$ pyrrole 21 was saponified with LiOH ( $98 \%$ ), and the resulting carboxylic acid 22 was efficiently converted to the enol lactone $23\left(\mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$, $72 \%$ ). Treatment of $\mathbf{2 3}$ with $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ removed both the benzyl ethers and methyl ether in excellent yield (72\%) to afford lukianol A (3). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR, MS, and mp of synthetic 2 ( $45 \%$ overall yield) and $\mathbf{3}$ (23\% overall yield) were identical in all respects with those reported for authentic or natural material.

Total Synthesis of Permethyl Storniamide A. The preparation of the acetylenic dienophile for the storniamide A synthesis, 1,2-bis(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)acetylene (26), was accomplished by $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed coupling of the terminal alkyne $\mathbf{2 4}^{21}$ with the aryl triflate $\mathbf{2 5}^{21}\left(\mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 90 \%\right)$, Scheme 4. Initial attempts to couple the terminal acetylene 24 and the aryl triflate 25 under reported conditions ${ }^{22}$ (catalytic $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$, catalytic $\mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}, 25$ and $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) gave an approximately $1: 1$ mixture
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of the desired cross-coupled product $\mathbf{2 6}$ and the undesired diacetylene dimer. Modifying the procedure to include slow addition of alkyne 24 to the reaction mixture at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave only the desired diphenylacetylene 26 in superb conversion ( $90 \%$ ), in which elevating the temperature promotes the slow $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ oxidative addition of the triflate and limiting the relative concentration of $\mathbf{2 4}$ avoids the undesired competitive selfcoupling reaction. The first of the two key conversions involving the Diels-Alder reaction of the electron-deficient 1,2,4,5tetrazine $\mathbf{6}$ with the electron-rich acetylene $\mathbf{2 6}$ proceeded in toluene to give the desired 1,2-diazine in excellent yield (110 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \%\right)$. The unusual facility with which this $[4+2]$ cycloaddition reaction occurs may be attributed to the six methoxy groups donating electron density into the dienophile. Subsequent zinc reductive ring contraction (HOAc, 69\%) of $\mathbf{2 7}$ afforded the pyrrole 28 and the core structure found in the natural product. $N$-Alkylation with the phenethyl bromide $29^{23}$ and subsequent saponification with KOH in 4:2:1 dioxane$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave the pentasubstituted pyrrole diacid 31 and set the stage for introduction of the sensitive enamides. The diacid $\mathbf{3 1}$ was coupled with amine $\mathbf{3 2}^{24}(\mathrm{PyBrOP})^{25}$ to provide the diamide 33, and the overall conversions of $\mathbf{2 8}$ to $\mathbf{3 3}$ were sufficiently effective that the three steps could be conducted without intermediate purification and in $99 \%$ overall yield. Thioether oxidation $\left(\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}\right)$ with subsequent thermal sulfoxide elimination gave a separable $2: 1$ mixture of the $E, E$ - and $E, Z-$ dienamides $\mathbf{5}$ in $76 \%$ for the two steps and in $47 \%$ overall yield. ${ }^{26}$ Attempts to isomerize the undesired E,Z-isomer with light and mild acid resulted in recovered starting material, decomposition, or undesired side reactions. However, treatment of the $E, Z$-isomer with $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ( 0.5 equiv, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) under room lighting gave an approximately $2: 1$ mixture of $E, E$ - and $E, Z-$ isomers, and a similar treatment of the $E, E$-isomer gave the same thermodynamic 2:1 mixture.

Cytotoxic Activity and Reversal of Multidrug Resistance. A number of compounds in these three classes of natural products have been shown to exhibit cytotoxic activity ${ }^{8}$ including lukianol A (3), which is reported to be active against a cell line derived from human epidermatoid carcinoma (KB). ${ }^{7}$ However, the biological evaluation of most members has not been fully explored, and some, including lamellarin O (2), were sufficiently unstable and isolated in quantities that precluded their examination. ${ }^{2}$ Consequently, the natural products and a number of structurally related synthetic intermediates were tested in a L1210 cytotoxic assay, and the results are summarized in Table 1. Both lamellarin O(2) and lukianol A (3) were found to be equally active against L1210 while $\mathbf{3}$ was $15-20$ times less active against HTC116, ningalin A (1) was found to be weakly active, and a number of the synthetic intermediates displayed an analogous level of activity, presumably due to their comparable structures. Notably, the $O$-methyl or $O$-benzyl derivatives 12, 21, and 23 of ningalin A, lamellarin $O$, and lukianol A were found to be inactive.

In addition, a select set of the naturally occurring lamellarins have been shown to exhibit equally potent cytotoxic activity against multidrug-resistant (MDR) cell lines arising from overexpression of P-glycoprotein and/or to reverse MDR at

[^4]Table 1. In Vitro Cytotoxic Activity

| compound | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{a}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L1210 | HCT116 wild type | HCT116/ <br> VM46 <br> (MDR) | HCT116/ <br> VP35 <br> (reduced topo II) |
| ningalin $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{1})$ | 80 |  |  |  |
| lamellarin O (2) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| lukianol A (3) | 1 | 20 | 15 | 15 |
| permethyl storniamide (5) | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 |
| 9 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 |
| 10 | > 100 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 60 |
| 12 | > 100 |  |  |  |
| 16 | 10 | 90 | 60 | > 100 |
| 17 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 |
| 19 | 80 | 90 | > 100 | > 100 |
| 21 | > 100 |  |  |  |
| 23 | > 100 |  |  |  |
| 27 | 6 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 |
| 28 | 20 |  |  |  |
| 30 | 7 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 |
| 31 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 |
| vinblastine |  | 0.003 | 0.2 |  |
| doxorubicin |  | 0.2 | 2.2 | 0.4 |

${ }^{a}$ Quadruplicate assays, average $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (variation from mean, $\pm 8 \%$ ).
noncytotoxic concentrations, resensitizing the resistant cell lines to conventional therapeutic agents. ${ }^{8} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{gp}$ is a 170 kDa plasma membrane glycoprotein encoded in humans by the gene MDR1 which functions by exporting drugs out of mammalian cells, lowering their intracellular concentration. ${ }^{27}$ Therefore, the active agents and a set of the inactive compounds were also examined against a wild-type human colon cancer cell line (HTC116) and two resistant HCT116 cell lines. The first resistant cell line (HCT116/VM46) embodies the MDR phenotype and overexpresses P-glycoprotein while the second cell line (HCT116/ VP35) derives its resistance through underexpression of topoisomerase II. The examination of the latter cell line along with the wild-type HCT116 and their comparison with HCT116/ VM46 allow an accurate assessment of the potential MDR sensitivity as well as an assessment of one potential therapeutic target. Of the active compounds examined, each proved equally potent against the three HCT116 cell lines, indicating no MDR or topo II resistance (Table 1). Most notably, lamellarin O (2) exhibited a respectable potency against all cell lines examined and exhibited micromolar activity against the HCT116 cell lines including the MDR HCT116/VM46, indicating that it, and related analogues, would not be subject to multidrug resistance phenotypes derived from overexpression of P-glycoprotein.

More interesting and fundamentally more important, many of the agents were found to be capable of reversing MDR at noncytotoxic concentrations, resensitizing HCT116/VM46 to vinblastine and doxorubicin (Table 2 and Figure 2). Of the agents examined, $\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1 6}, \mathbf{1 7}$, and $\mathbf{3 0}$ were found to resensitize HCT116/VM46 to vinblastine or doxorubicin at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and to do so more effectively than verapamil. At this concentration, complete MDR reversal was observed with 5. Thus, the most effective of the agents capable of reversing MDR was permethyl storniamide A (5), which exhibited no inherent cytotoxic activity against the L1210 or HCT116 cell lines and completely reversed the MDR at a concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, significantly more potent than the protoypical agent verapamil. Both 31 and the corresponding primary carboxamide, which represent potential hy-

[^5]Table 2. MDR Reversal

| compound at $1.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | vinblastine $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{a}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { gain in } \\ \text { sensitivity }{ }^{b} \\ (\% \text { reversion) } \end{gathered}$ | doxorubicin $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{a}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { gain in } \\ \text { sensitivity }{ }^{b} \\ (\% \text { reversion }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.1 | 2 (3) | 2.0 | 1.1 (10) |
| 3 | 0.2 | 1 (0) | 2.2 | 1 (0) |
| 5 | 0.003 | 67 (100) | 0.1 | 22 (200) |
| 5 (7.5 $\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 0.0008 | 250 (370) | 0.08 | 31 (280) |
| 9 | 0.09 | 2.2 (3) | 0.8 | 3 (25) |
| 11 | 0.15 | 1.3 (2) | 2.2 | 1 (0) |
| 16 | 0.05 | 4 (6) | 0.3 | 7 (67) |
| 17 | 0.01 | 20 (30) | 0.7 | 3.1 (28) |
| 19 | 0.1 | 2 (3) |  |  |
| 21 | 0.1 | 2 (3) |  |  |
| 23 | 0.1 | 2 (3) |  |  |
| 27 | 0.2 | 1 (0) | 2.2 | 1 (0) |
| 30 | 0.009 | 22 (33) | 0.6 | 3.6 (40) |
| 30 (7.5 $\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 0.0008 | 250 (370) | 0.08 | 31 (280) |
| 31 | 0.1 | 2 (3) | 2.2 | 1 (0) |
| 31 (7.5 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 0.2 | 1 (0) | 2.2 | 1 (0) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { verapamil } \\ & \quad(1.0 \mu \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | 0.02 | 10 (15) |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { verapamil } \\ & \quad(7.5 \mu \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | 0.003 | 67 (100) | 0.2 | 11 (100) |
| ${ }^{a} \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ of vinblastine or doxorubicin against the MDR resistant cell line HCT116/VM46 in the presence of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the indicated compound. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in the absence of added compound are $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (vinblastine) and $2.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (doxorubicin). For the wild-type HCT116 cell line, not subject to $\mathrm{MDR}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are $0.003 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (vinblastine) and $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (doxorubicin). Average of five experiments, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ variability $( \pm 8 \%) .{ }^{b}$ Gain in sensitivity is measured as $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(-) / \mathrm{IC}_{50}(+)[(-)=$ without added drug, $(+)=$ with added drug]: Keller, R. P.; Altermatt, H. J.; Nooter, K.; Poschmann, G.; Laissue, J. A.; Bollinger, P.; Hiestand, P. C. Int. J. Cancer 1992, 50, 593. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

drolysis products of 5, exhibited no MDR reversal at either 1 or $7.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$. At the higher concentrations required for complete verapamil reversal $(7.5 \mu \mathrm{M}), 5$ and $\mathbf{3 0}(7.5 \mu \mathrm{M})$ were still more effective and the HTC116/VM46 cell line became hypersensitive to vincristine and doxorubicin, exhibiting $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values $2-4 \times$ lower than those of the wild type. The concentration dependence of this reversal was examined more carefully with several of the agents including the simpler derivative $\mathbf{3 0}$, enlisting a constant and suboptimal concentration of vinblastine ( $0.01 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ mL ) in the HCT116/VM46 assay. The results are illustrated in Figure 2 with $\mathbf{3 0}$ which exhibited a well-behaved concentration dependence for the MDR reversal. Consistent with their action on P-gp170, both 5 and 30 inhibited dye efflux ${ }^{8}$ (rhodamine 123) from HT116/VM46 cells ( 5 > 30), returning the dye retention to levels equivalent to that of wild type HCT116, and both had no significant effect on the intracellular dye concentration in wild type HCT116 cells.

Conclusions. Concise total syntheses of ningalin A (1), lamellarin O (2), lukianol A (3), and permethyl storniamide A (5) were completed enlisting a common 1,2,4,5-tetrazine $\rightarrow 1,2$ diazine $\rightarrow$ pyrrole Diels-Alder strategy featuring the unusually effective [ $4+2$ ] cycloadditions of the electron-deficient $1,2,4,5$ tetrazine $\mathbf{6}$ with symmetrical, electron-rich alkynes. The agents constitute prototypical members of three different classes of marine natural products characterized by a highly functionalized tetra- or pentasubstituted pyrrole which is ideally suited to construction using this strategy. Among these agents, lamellarin O (2) was found to exhibit micromolar cytotoxic activity against wild-type and multidrug-resistant tumor cell lines, suggesting it may serve as a new lead for the development of antitumor agents insensitive to MDR. Fundamentally more important, permethyl storniamide A (5) and its synthetic precursor 30, which lack inherent cytotoxic properties, were shown to potently reverse MDR, resensitizing a resistant human colon cancer cell


Figure 2. (a) MDR reversal effect of verapamil on the HCT116/VM46 MDR phenotype. The effect of vinblastine on wild-type HCT116 is also included to illustrate the extent of reversal. (b) MDR reversal effect of $\mathbf{3 0}$ on the HCT116/VM46 MDR phenotype. The effect of vinblastine on the wild-type HCT116 is also included to illustrate the extent of reversal. (c) Concentration dependence of MDR reversal by $\mathbf{3 0}$ at a constant suboptimal vinblastine concentration ( $0.01 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), against HCT116/VM46. At these concentrations, 30 alone had no cytotoxic effect on the cell line (see Table 1).
line (HCT116/VM46) to vinblastine and doxorubicin, and they constitute the initial members of a new class of MDR reversal agents.

## Experimental Section

1,2-Bis(4,5-dimethoxy-2-(methoxymethoxy)phenyl)acetylene (8). Nitrogen gas was bubbled through a slurry of 1-bromo-4,5-dimethoxy-2-(methoxymethoxy)benzene ${ }^{16}(7 ; 1.67 \mathrm{~g}, 6.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.700 \mathrm{~g}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) in toluene $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 15 min. 1,2-Bis(tributylstannyl)acetylene ${ }^{17}$ ( $1.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.6$ equiv) was added, and the reaction mixture was warmed to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 5.5 \times 17 \mathrm{~cm}, 40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}\right.$-hexane $)$ provided $8(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%)$ as a white crystalline solid: $\mathrm{mp} 96-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAc-hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 152.7,150.0,144.2,114.9,105.8,102.0,96.5$, 88.5, 56.4, 56.3, 56.0; IR (film) $v_{\text {max }} 2994,2932,2820,1601,1508$, 1452, 1350, 1211, 1150, $1006 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; FABHRMS (NBA/NaI) m/z
$418.1620\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{8}\right.$ requires 418.1628). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C, 63.15; H, 6.26. Found: C, 62.99; H, 6.05.

Dimethyl 4,5-Bis(4,5-dimethoxy-2-(methoxymethoxy)phenyl)-1,2-diazine-3,6-dicarboxylate (9). A solution of $\mathbf{8}(0.98 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 3,6-dicarbomethoxy-1,2,4,5-tetrazine ( $\mathbf{6} \cdot{ }^{13} 1.39 \mathrm{~g}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in toluene ( 25 mL ) was warmed to $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar for 21 h . Additional $6(0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added, and the mixture was further warmed to $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 h before the reaction mixture was cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the solvent was evaporated. Chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 3.5 \times\right.$ $20 \mathrm{~cm}, 6-8 \%$ acetone $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ provided $9(1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%)$ as a yellow crystalline solid: mp $161-162{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOAc}-$ hexanes $)$; (major atropisomer (2.4:1)) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta 165.2,154.8,150.3,148.9,144.1,137.3,114.8,112.5,100.0,96.5$, $56.0,55.8,55.7,52.9$; IR (film) $\nu_{\max } 3006,2955,2832,1739,1606$, 1503, 1431, 1262, 1216, 1149, $1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; FABHRMS (NBA/CsI) $m / z$ $721.0982\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{12}\right.$ requires 721.1010). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ : C, 57.14; H, 5.48; N, 4.76. Found: C, 57.00; H, 5.72; N, 4.86.

Dimethyl 3,4-Bis(4,5-dimethoxy-2-(methoxymethoxy)phenyl)pyr-role-2,5-dicarboxylate (10). A solution of $9(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ in HOAc ( $650 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was treated with Zn dust ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv), stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h , and then treated with an additional 10 equiv of $\mathrm{Zn}(33 \mathrm{mg}){ }^{28}$ After 12.5 h , the slurry was diluted with EtOAc (10 $\mathrm{mL})$, filtered through Celite, and rinsed with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ until effervescence ceased, washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Radial chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~mm}\right.$ plate, $6 \%$ acetone $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ provided $10(18.4 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%)$ as a white crystalline solid: $\mathrm{mp} 151-152{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAc-hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 11.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (acetone- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 161.4,150.9,150.1,144.7$, 128.1, 123.5, 117.1, 116.6, 102.8, 97.2, 56.4, 56.1, 55.8, 51.6; IR (film) $v_{\max } 3272,3005,2944,2831,1708,1615,1492,1272,1221,1149$, 995, $759 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; FABHRMS (NBA/CsI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 708.1031\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right.$, $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{12}$ requires 708.1057). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{12}$ : C, 58.43; H, 5.78; N, 2.43. Found: C, 58.19; H, 5.99; N, 2.34.

Methyl 7,8-Dimethoxy-1-(4,5-dimethoxy-2-hydroxyphenyl)-[1]-benzopyrano[3,4-b]pyrrol-4(3H)-one-2-carboxylate (11). A sample of the $\mathbf{1 0}(18.8 \mathrm{mg}, 32.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was treated with $3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}-\mathrm{EtOAc}$ $(1.2 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Evaporation of the solvent provided a mixture of dimethyl 3,4-bis(2-hydroxy-4,5-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrrole-2,5-dicarboxylate and 11. ${ }^{29}$ Subsequent radial chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~mm}\right.$ plate, $8 \%$ acetone $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$, which also promoted cyclization of the diphenol, afforded pure $11(13 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$, typically $83-94 \%$ ) as a white powder: $\mathrm{mp}>305{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 12.09(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75$ $(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 160.3,154.2$, $149.6,149.5,148.8,145.4,145.3,141.6,128.3,126.9,120.6,117.4$, $115.9,110.5,109.7,104.5,100.8,100.7,56.4,55.9,55.5,55.0,51.7$; IR (film) $v_{\max } 3477,3262,2954,2831,1728,1707,1622,1548,1494$, 1263, 1214, 1150, $1037 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; FABHRMS (NBA/CsI) m/z. 588.0287 $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{9}\right.$ requires 588.0271).

Tetramethyl Ningalin A (12). A solution of $\mathbf{1 1}(8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 17.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in toluene $(1.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{DBU}(8.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 53.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5.0$ equiv) and stirred at $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The solution was diluted with

[^6]$\mathrm{EtOAc}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(2 \times 10$ $\mathrm{mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ to provide $12(7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$, typically $95-100 \%$ ) as an ivory powder. An analytically pure sample was prepared by sequential trituration with toluene, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and hexane: mp 328-330 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$, $500 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 14.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMF- $\left.d_{7}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 154.9,150.7,146.7$, $146.6,124.5,122.5,109.9,108.8,102.1,57.2,56.2$; IR (film) $v_{\max } 3231$, 2903, 2841, 1711, 1615, 1494, 1228, $830 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; FABHRMS (NBA/ $\mathrm{NaI}) m / z 423.0976\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{8}\right.$ requires 423.0954).

Ningalin A (1). A solution of $\mathbf{1 2}(6.5 \mathrm{mg}, 15.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, $230 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $230 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 15$ equiv), and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 24 h . Following dilution with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(500 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, the solvent was removed with a stream of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Subsequent trituration with toluene and $10 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ afforded pure synthetic $1(5.4 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%)$ identical in all compared respects ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR, MS) ${ }^{18}$ to naturally derived ningalin $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{mp}>260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec $;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 14.0(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.44(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 154.6, 146.4, 144.4, 142.8, 122.9, 122.1, 110.3, 108.4, 104.3; IR (film) $v_{\max } 3377$, 3179, 1707, 1625, 1497, 1338, 1262, $1164 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; FABHRMS (NBA/ CsI) $m / z 499.9364\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{8}\right.$ requires 499.9382).

1,2-Bis(4-benzyloxyphenyl)acetylene (15). A stirred solution of $14{ }^{19}$ $\left(1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 5.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.03$ equiv), and $\mathrm{CuI}\left(0.058 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.06\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(58 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with a solution of $\mathbf{1 3}^{19}(1.04 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ over a period of 1.5 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for an additional 30 min before it was cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was diluted with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 5.5 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 25 \%\right.$ EtOAc-hexane) afforded 15 ( $1.60 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ) as a white solid. An analytically pure sample was prepared by recrystallization from toluene ( $2 \times$ ): mp 168-170 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit. $\left.^{30} \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{180-182}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; FABHRMS (NBA/NaI) m/z $390.1631\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 390.1620). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 86.13$; H , 5.68. Found: C, 86.31; H, 5.36.

Dimethyl 3,4-Bis(4-benzyloxyphenyl)-1,2-diazine-2,5-dicarboxylate (16). A stirred mixture of $\mathbf{1 5}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{6}^{13}(0.76 \mathrm{~g}$, $3.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) in toluene ( 10 mL ) was warmed to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 48 h . The mixture was cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, additional $6(0.76 \mathrm{~g}$, $3.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added, and the mixture was warmed to 110 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 24 h . Chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 5.5 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 50 \%\right.$ EtOAc-hexane) afforded 16 ( $1.22 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ) as a yellow solid: $\mathrm{mp} 169-$ $171{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(50 \%$ EtOAc-hexane $) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.41-$ $7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $5.02(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 165.6,159.1$, $154.8,138.0,136.3,130.5,128.6,127.6,127.5,124.8,114.9,69.9$, 52.9; IR (film) $v_{\max } 3025,2953,2871,1743,1605,1507,1435,1374$, $1246 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; FABHRMS (NBA/CsI) $m / z 693.1020\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right.$ requires 693.1002). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 72.84 ; H, 5.03; N, 5.00. Found: C, 73.13; H, 5.19; N, 4.87.

Dimethyl 3,4-Bis(4-benzyloxyphenyl)pyrrole-2,5-dicarboxylate (17). A stirred solution of $\mathbf{1 6}(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ in HOAc $(10.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with powdered $\mathrm{Zn}(0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 8.02 \mathrm{mmol}$, 9 equiv). After 6 h , additional powdered $\mathrm{Zn}(0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 8.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 9$ equiv) was added, and the reaction was allowed to stir for 12 h . The mixture was diluted with EtOAc $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, filtered through a pad of Celite, and rinsed with $\operatorname{EtOAc}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 3.8 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}\right.$, $25 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$-hexane) afforded $17(0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%)$ as a white solid: mp $164-165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(25 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}-$ hexane $) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 9.78 (br s, 1H), $7.45-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.84$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 160.7,157.8,136.9,131.9,131.2,128.5,127.9,127.6,125.3$, $121.1,113.8,69.9,51.7$; IR (film) $v_{\max } 3438,3287,3032,2950,1701$, 1465, 1298, $1243 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; FABHRMS (NBA/CsI) m/z $680.1032(\mathrm{M}+$
(30) Broser, W.; Brockt, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1967, 3117.
$\mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ requires 680.1049). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ : C , 74.57; H, 5.34; N, 2.56. Found: C, 74.70; H, 5.42; N, 2.47.

Dimethyl 3,4-Bis(4-benzyloxyphenyl)-1-[2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl]pyrrole-2,5-dicarboxylate (19). A stirred mixture of 17 (0.30 $\mathrm{g}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}), 18\left(0.138 \mathrm{~g}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1\right.$ equiv), and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.227$ $\mathrm{g}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) in DMF $(2.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was warmed to 70 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The mixture was cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10$ $\mathrm{mL})$, extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 3.8 \times\right.$ $15 \mathrm{~cm}, 40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$-hexane) afforded $19(0.38 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp}: 166.5-167.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(40 \%$ EtOAc-hexane $) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $250 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $6.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $100 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 192.1,163.9,162.2,157.4,137.0,131.7,131.6,131.5$, $130.3,128.5,128.0,127.9,127.6,127.1,124.3,114.0,113.6,69.8$, 55.5, 53.1, 51.3; IR (film) $v_{\text {max }} 3034,2950,2910,2848,1712,1601$, 1532, 1437, 1299, $1237 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; FABHRMS (NBA/CsI) m/z 828.1594 $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{8}\right.$ requires 828.1574). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{37}-$ $\mathrm{NO}_{8}: \mathrm{C}, 74.23$; H, 5.36; N, 2.01. Found: C, 73.87; H, 5.65; N, 1.99.

3,4-Bis(4-benzyloxyphenyl)-5-(methoxycarbonyl)-1-[2-(4-methox-yphenyl)-2-oxoethyl]pyrrole-2-carboxylic Acid (20). A stirred solution of $19(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{LiOH}(0.013 \mathrm{~g}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv $)$ in 3:2:1 THF- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was warmed to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{KOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was acidified with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 1)$ and was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 5$ $\mathrm{mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 3.8 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 5 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ afforded pure 20 ( $0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ): mp $190-191{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(i-\mathrm{PrOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{t}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 192.2$, $163.8,162.1,157.7,157.4,137.0,136.9,131.9,131.6,130.3,128.5$, $128.0,127.9,127.7,127.6,114.0,113.8,113.6,69.8,55.5,53.2,51.4$; IR (film) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3351-2800,3031,2916,2848,1708,1600,1531,1435$, $1239 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; FABHRMS (NBA/CsI) m/z $814.384\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{35^{-}}\right.$ $\mathrm{NO}_{8}$ requires 814.1417). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{8}: \mathrm{C}, 74.00 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.17$; N, 2.05. Found: C, 73.74; H, 5.53; N, 2.23.

Methyl 3,4-Bis(4-benzyloxyphenyl)-1-[2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl]pyrrole-2-carboxylate (21). A stirred solution of 20 (0.10 $\mathrm{g}, 0.147 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was treated with trifluoroacetic acid ( $0.056 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.733 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), and the solution was warmed to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The mixture was cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the solvent was diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1.9 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 35 \%\right.$ EtOAc-hexane) afforded $21(0.091 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%)$ as a white solid: mp 120$121{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-6.99(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 191.8,164.0,162.3,157.5,157.1,137.1$, $137.0,131.9,131.0,130.3,129.4,128.5,128.2,127.9,127.6,127.5$, $127.2,124.6,119.7,114.4,114.1,113.8,88.4,69.9,55.5,50.8$; IR (film) $\nu_{\max } 3032,2948,1691,1600,1532,1441,1237,1171 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; FABHRMS (NBA/CsI) m/z. $770.1539\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{6}\right.$ requires 770.1519).

Lamellarin $\mathbf{O}$ (2). Method A. A solution of 21 ( $10.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0163$ mmol ) and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}\left(1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1\right.$ wt equiv) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(0.16 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The solution was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford $2(7.5 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%)$ as a white solid identical in all compared results $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR, mp$)^{31}$ to authentic material: mp $259-261{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{mp} 259-260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; unstable pale yellow oil ${ }^{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone$\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}$,
(31) We thank Professors Capon and Scheuer for providing copies of the original spectra of lamellarin O and lukianol A, respectively, for direct comparison.
$3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (acetone- $\left.d_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 192.7, 164.9, $162.9,157.0,156.6,132.7,131.5,131.1,130.2,129.3,128.3,128.1$, $127.2,125.2,120.7,115.84,115.80,115.23,115.20,114.9,56.5,56.1$, 50.7; IR (film) $v_{\text {max }} 3430,2912,1682,1600,1436,1241,1169,1097$, $1025 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; FABHRMS (NBA/CsI) $m / z 590.0601\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{23}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\mathrm{NO}_{6}$ requires 590.0580).

Method B. A sample of crude $21(7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol})$ was warmed in neat trifluoroacetic acid $(0.56 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The mixture was cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the solvent was diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1.9\right.$ $\times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 35 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$-hexane) afforded $2(4.2 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%)$ as a white solid identical in all respects to the material described above.

1H-7,8-Bis(4-benzyloxyphenyl)-3-(methoxyphenyl)pyrrolo[2,1-c]-[1,4]-oxazin-1-one (23). A stirred solution of 21 ( $0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.039 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiOH}\left(0.0028 \mathrm{~g}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.7\right.$ equiv) in $3: 2: 1 \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was warmed to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{KOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was extracted with EtOAc $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was acidified with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH}$ 1), extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford 22 ( 0.023 g , $98 \%$ ). The compound was used without further purification.

A stirred solution of $22(0.023 \mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{NaOAc}(0.055 \mathrm{~g}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$, 18.1 equiv) in $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was warmed to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The mixture was cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the solvent was removed by coevaporation with toluene. The residue was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1.9\right.$ $\times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$-hexane) afforded $23(0.017 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%)$ as a white solid: mp $184-185{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.62$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.08$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 160.5$, $158.3,157.8,154.3,142.0,137.0,136.9,132.1,129.8,129.7,128.6$, $128.5,128.1,128.00,127.96,127.7,127.5,126.1,125.8,124.9,123.1$, 118.9, 114.8, 114.3, 114.2, 114.1, 112.9, 102.7, 70.0, 55.3; IR (film) $v_{\max } 3108,3033,2938,1732,1608,1515,1428,1245,1176 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; FABHRMS (NBA/CsI) m/z $738.1279\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right.$ requires 738.1257). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ : C, 79.32; H, 5.16; N, 2.31. Found C, 78.97; H, 4.83; N, 2.34.

Lukianol A (3). A stirred solution of $23(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0165 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.33 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}(0.148 \mathrm{~mL}$ as a 1 M solution in hexanes, 9 equiv) dropwise over a 20 min period. The solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and gradually warmed to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOAc}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous sodium chloride ( 5 mL ). Chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1.9 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 33 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}\right.$-hexane) afforded 3 ( $4.9 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) as a white solid identical in all compared respects ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R,{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, mp ) ${ }^{31}$ with authentic material: mp 264$266{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{264-266}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 9.88 (br s, 1H), 9.46 (br s, 1H), 9.42 (br s, 1H), 8.05 (br s, 1H), 7.60 $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 158.4$, $156.6,156.3,153.6,140.8,131.8,129.4,128.7,127.3,125.5,123.9$, 123.1, 121.3, 120.0, 115.8, 115.2, 114.6, 111.9, 103.1; IR (film) $\nu_{\max }$ 3406, 1653, 1613, 1420, 1269, 1025, $997 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; FABHRMS (NBA/ $\mathrm{NaI}) m / z 412.1201\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right.$ requires 412.1185).

1,2-Bis(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)acetylene (26). A stirred solution of $\mathbf{2 5}^{21}(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $\mathrm{CuI}\left(0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.3\right.$ equiv), and $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}(1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 4.74$ mmol, 3.0 equiv) in $5: 1 \mathrm{DMF}-\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(8.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ under Ar at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with $24^{21}$ ( $0.43 \mathrm{~g}, 2.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4$ equiv) in $5: 1 \mathrm{DMF}-\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ over a period of 1.5 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for an additional 30 min before it was cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, diluted with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(4 \times 50$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 5.5 \times\right.$ $\left.15 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ afforded $26(0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$ as a yellow-brown solid. An analytically pure sample could be prepared by recrystallizaton from toluene: $\mathrm{mp} 192-195{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.77(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 153.0$, 139.0, 118.0, 108.6, 88.4, 60.9, 56.0; IR (film) $v_{\max }$ 2941, 1574, 1508, $1410,1236,1128 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; FABHRMS (NBA/NaI) m/z $358.1406\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires 358.1416). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 67.03; H , 6.19. Found: C, 67.34; H, 6.36 .

Dimethyl 4,5-Bis(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-1,2-diazine-3,6-dicarboxylate (27). A stirred mixture of $26(25.0 \mathrm{mg}, 69.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathbf{6}^{13}$ ( $20.5 \mathrm{mg}, 104 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5$ equiv) in toluene $(0.14 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was warmed to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar for 24 h and was cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and additional 6 ( $20.5 \mathrm{mg}, 104 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added to the reaction. The mixture was warmed at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 24 h and was allowed to cool to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1.0 \times 14 \mathrm{~cm}, 50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}\right.$-hexane $)$ afforded 27 ( $23.4 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) as a light orange solid: mp $143-144{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $50 \%$ EtOAc-hexane) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.28(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 165.6$, 154.5, 153.2, 138.6, 137.5, 127.5, 106.6, 61.0, 56.1, 53.2; IR (film) $\nu_{\max } 2918,1741,1584,1411,1239,1125 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; FABHRMS (NBA/ CsI) $m / z 661.0824\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{10}\right.$ requires 661.0798). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ : C, 59.09; H, 5.34; N, 5.30. Found: C, 58.94; H, 5.10; N, 5.09.

Dimethyl 3,4-Bis(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)pyrrole-2,5-dicarboxylate (28). A stirred solution of $27(29.0 \mathrm{mg}, 54.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in HOAc $(0.7$ mL ) under Ar at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with powdered $\mathrm{Zn}(32 \mathrm{mg}, 49.5$ mmol, 9.0 equiv). After 6 h , additional powdered $\mathrm{Zn}(32 \mathrm{mg}, 49.5$ mmol, 9.0 equiv) was added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 12 h . The mixture was diluted with $5 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ (5 mL ) and filtered through a pad of Celite which was rinsed with $5 \%$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 5.5 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 4 \%\right.$ acetone $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ afforded $28(19.6 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%)$ as a light yellow solid: $\mathrm{mp} 153-155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $4 \%$ acetone $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.86(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.37(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta 160.6$ (2C), 152.3 (4C), 137.1 (2C), 131.1 (2C), 128.1 (2C), 121.0 (2C), 108.3 (4C), 60.9 (2C), 56.0 (4C), 51.9 (2C); IR (film) $v_{\max } 3270$, 2938, 1710, 1586, 1465, 1340, 1240, $1125 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; FABHRMS (NBA/ CsI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 648.0859\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{10}\right.$ requires 648.0896). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{10}$ : C, $60.58 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.67$; N, 2.72. Found: C, 60.94; H, 5.63; N, 2.66.

Dimethyl 3,4-Bis(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-1-[2-(4-methoxyphen-yl)ethyl]pyrrole-2,5-dicarboxylate (30). A stirred mixture of 28 (0.21 $\mathrm{g}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-methoxyphenethyl bromide $\left(29 ;{ }^{23} 0.44 \mathrm{~g}, 2.03 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, 5.0 equiv), and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.28 \mathrm{~g}, 2.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) in DMF (4.1 mL ) under Ar was warmed to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . The mixture was cooled to $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2.5 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 2 \%\right.$ acetone $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ afforded $30(0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%)$ as a light yellow solid: mp $118-119{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(2 \%$ acetone $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 162.0,158.3,152.2,136.7$, $130.3,130.0,129.8,123.8,113.9,107.8,60.9,56.0,55.2,51.6,48.9$, 37.4; IR (film) $v_{\max } 2934,1719,1584,1512,1410,1237,1127 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}_{11}$ : C, 64.70; H, 6.05; N, 2.16. Found: C, 64.39; H, 6.41; N, 2.37.

3,4-Bis(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-1-[2-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethyl]-pyrrole-2,5-dicarboxylic Acid (31). A stirred solution of 30 ( 0.13 g , $0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{KOH}(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) in $4: 2: 1$ dioxane$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was warmed to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{KOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was acidified with concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 1)$, extracted with $5 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford pure $31(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%)$ : mp 182-185 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.31$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}$, $12 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 165.4$, $158.5,152.3,137.1,132.7,129.9,129.8,129.0,123.6,114.0,108.2$, $60.8,56.0,55.2,49.4,37.2$; IR (film) $v_{\max } 3189,2935,1712,1585$, 1513, 1425, 1240, $1127 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; FABHRMS (NBA/CsI) m/z 661.0824 $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{11}\right.$ requires 661.0798). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{35}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{NO}_{11}: \mathrm{C}, 63.76 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.68 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.25$. Found: C, 63.90; H, 5.70; N, 2.35.

3,4-Bis(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-1-[2-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethyl]-pyrrole-2,5-bis[ $N$-(2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenylthio)ethyl]carboxamide (33). A stirred solution of $31(5.5 \mathrm{mg}, 8.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 2-(4-$ methoxyphenyl)-2-(phenylthio)-1-aminoethane (32; ${ }^{4} 4.6 \mathrm{mg}, 18 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 2.05 equiv), and $i-\operatorname{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(8.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5.0$ equiv) under Ar at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with $\mathrm{PyBrOP}^{25}(8.8 \mathrm{mg}, 19 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.1$ equiv $)$, and the reaction was stirred for 2 h . Chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1.5 \times 12 \mathrm{~cm}, 10 \%\right.$ EtOAc -hexane) afforded 33 ( $9.9 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%$ ) as a light yellow foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.21-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.21(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $5.69(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.1,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-$ $3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta 161.5,158.9,158.2,153.1,137.1,133.0,132.8,130.8,130.4,130.3$, 128.9 , 128.8, 128.6, 127.6, 126.2, 124.8, 113.9, 113.7, 107.3, 60.8, 56.0, 55.2, 55.1, 51.5, 48.4, 43.5, 37.6, 29.7; FABHRMS (NBA) $m / z$ $1104.4195\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{65} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right.$ requires 1104.4138).
$\boldsymbol{E}, \boldsymbol{E}$-3,4-Bis(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-1-[2-(4-methoxyphenyl)eth-yl]pyrrole-2,5-bis[N-2-(4-methoxystyryl)]carboxamide (Permethyl Storniamide A, 5). A stirred solution of $33(14.5 \mathrm{mg}, 13.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ under Ar at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(56 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 20.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred for 6 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with $5 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3}-$ $\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(4 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afford the disulfoxide as a light yellow solid which was used without further purification. The resulting solid and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.5 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5.0$ equiv) were diluted with toluene $(0.26 \mathrm{~mL})$ and were warmed to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(18 \mathrm{~h})$ and $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~h})$. PTLC ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}-$ hexane, two elutions) afforded a $2: 1$ mixture of the desired $E, E$-isomer $5(5.8 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%)$ and the $E, Z$-isomer ( $3.0 \mathrm{mg}, 26 \%$ ). Data for $E, E-5:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H})$, $3.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{32}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 162.0$, $158.7,158.3,157.9,153.4,137.8,130.4,128.3,128.2,126.6,126.3$, $126.0,120.2,114.2,113.7,113.0,107.8,61.0,56.3,55.3,55.1,48.6$, 37.5; IR (film) $v_{\max } 3374,2936,2834,1667,1650,1607,1580,1511$, 1504, 1245, 1177, 1126, 1032, 944, $845 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ FABHRMS (NBA/NaI) $m / z 906.3607\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{11}\right.$ requires 906.3578). Data for $E, Z-5:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); FABHRMS (NBA/CsI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 1016.2777\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right.$, $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{11}$ requires 1016.2734). A trace amount of the Z,Z-isomer was also isolated, accumulated, and characterized: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H})$, 3.12 (t, $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; FABHRMS (NBA/CsI) m/z. 1016.2779 (M + $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{11}$ requires 1016.2734).

Determination of Cytotoxicity and the Effect on MDR Reversals. The L1210 cytotoxic assays were performed as previously described. ${ }^{33}$ Human colon carcinoma cells HCT116, HCT116/VM46 (MDR, overexpression of P-glycoprotein), and HCT116/VP35 (with reduced levels of topoisomerase II) ${ }^{34}$ were obtained from Drs. D. M. Floyd and C. R. Fairchild of Bristol-Myers Squibb and were cultured in RPMI 1640 media supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS. Upon performing the assay, 3000 cells in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ medium were seeded into each well of 96 -well cluster

[^7]dishes, and cell incubation was allowed to proceed for 24 h . Then 98 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of the medium was added to each well. The antitumor drugs (vinblastine or doxorubicin), verapamil, and our synthetic compounds were dissolved in DMSO and were prepared in serial dilutions. A 1 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ sample of the synthetic compound solution and a $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sample of the antitumor drug solution were added sequentially to the same well for MDR reversal studies, or $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the synthetic compound solution was added to a well for cytotoxicity studies. The components in the well were mixed by drawing the liquid up and down four times using a pipet. The culture was incubated for another 72 h . At the end of the incubation, the medium was removed and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 10 mM acid phosphatase substrate, p-nitrophenyl phosphate (Sigma), in 0.1 M sodium acetate ( pH 5.5 ), $0.1 \%$ Triton X-100, was added to each well. This incubation was allowed to proceed for 10 h , before $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 1 N sodium hydroxide was added for colorimetric absorbance determination $^{35}$ (Emax, Molecular Devices). The wells in the first row of a culture
plate contained cultural medium only and were used as blanks. Wells containing cells treated only with DMSO (1\%) were used as controls.
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